## Case 2:22-cr-00140-WBS Document 53 Filed 11/01/23 Page 1 of 3

1	MARK REICHEL ATTORNEY AT LAW 455 Capitol Mall, 8 <sup>th</sup> Floor				
2	Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 498-9258				
3	(710) 170 7230				
4	Attorney for Defendant FRANCISCO GONZALES				
5					
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
8					
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 22-CR-00140 WBS			
10	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO RE SET STATUS CONFERENCE AND FOR EXCLUDABLE TIME			
11	v.	PERIOD UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER			
12	FRANCISCO GONZALES,	DATE: December 11, 2023			
13	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. William B. Shubb			
14					
15	STIPULATION				
16	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and				
17 18	through his counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:				
19	1. By this stipulation, all parties now move to set a status conference date of December 11,				
20	2023 at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time from the date of this stipulation until December 11, 2023 under				
21	18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].				
22	2. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:				
23	a) Counsel for defendant was involved in state criminal trial the entire month of				
24	October, <i>People v Davis</i> Placer County S	Superior Court, and just finished the trial. Defense			
25	counsel was unavailable to meet with and prepare for the hearing in this matter.				
26	b) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case				
27	includes approximately two-hundred-fifty pages of investigative reports, video recordings, and				
forensic images of five telephones consisting of over 5GB of data. All of this disco					
	either produced directly to counsel and/or	made available for inspection and copying.			

## Case 2:22-cr-00140-WBS Document 53 Filed 11/01/23 Page 2 of 3

1	c) Counsel for defendants believes that failure to grant the above-requested			
2	continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into			
3	account the exercise of due diligence.			
4	d) The government does not object to the continuance.			
5	e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the			
6	case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the			
7	original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.			
8	f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161,			
9	et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of November 6, 2023, to December			
10	11, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local			
11	Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on			
12	the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the			
13	best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.			
14	3. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the			
15	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial			
16	must commence.			
17	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
18	Dated: October 31, 2023 PHILLIP A. TALBERT			
19	United States Attorney			
20	/s/ ROBERT C. ABENDROTH			
21	ROBERT C. ABENDROTH Assistant United States Attorney			
22	Assistant Office States Attorney			
23	Dated: October 31, 2023  /s/ MARK J. REICHEL  MARK DESCRIPTION			
24	MARK REICHEL Attorney for defendant			
25				
26				
27				
28				

## Case 2:22-cr-00140-WBS Document 53 Filed 11/01/23 Page 3 of 3

1	FINDINGS AND ORDER	
2	2 IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.	
3	4 Milliam Va Shube	
5	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
6	6	
7	7	
8	8	
9	9	
10		
11		
12		
13 14		
15		
16		
17		
18	8	
19	9	
20	0	
21	1	
22		
23		
24		
25		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		
28		